United States District Court

for the

Southern District of Illinois

United States of America v. JARED RICO CRAWFORD Defendant) Case No. 20-MJ-4032-RJD)			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligib	oility for Detention			
Upon the				
☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursua☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own				
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
and the community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following conditions (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person			
(b) an offense for which the maximum s	entence is life imprisonment or death; or			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the \$801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through (jurisdiction had existed, or a combination				
	me of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>			

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

AO 472	(Rev. 09/16	Order of Detention	Pending Trial
110 4/2	(1101.07/10	Older of Determion	i chang i ma

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ■
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

Case 4:20-mj-04032-RJD Document 9 Filed 04/30/20 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #18

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16)	Order of Detention Pending Trial	I
Subject Prior fa	nilure to appear in court a ttempt(s) to evade law er alias(es) or false docume round information unkno	on after serving any period of incarceration as ordered inforcement ents
OTHER REASO	NS OR FURTHER EXP	PLANATION:
	Pa	art IV - Directions Regarding Detention
for confinement being held in cus with defense con person in charge	in a corrections facility stody pending appeal. Tunsel. On order of a co	y of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or the defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation purt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the ity must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an occeeding.
Date:	04/30/2020	s/ Reona J. Daly

United States Magistrate Judge